PATENT COOPERATION TREAT 1



From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORIT FMG LAW DEPT. Philadelphia To: JOHN M. SHEEHAN **FMC CORPORATION** NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF 13 2004 1735 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** (PCT Rule 71.1) 09 SEP 2004 Date of Mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION 60285-PCT2 International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) International application No. 26 June 2002 (26.06.2002) 25 June 2003 (25.06.2003) PCT/US03/20107 Applicant **FMC CORPORATION**

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices)(Article 39(1))(see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/ US

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Authorized officer

Frank M. Lawrence

Telephone No. 571-272-0987

Jean Proctor Paralegal Specialis

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPE		of Transmittal of International mination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
60285-PCT2 International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/US03/20107	25 June 2003 (25.06.2003) 2		26 June 2002 (26.06.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC)	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
IPC(7): C02F 1/72 and US Cl.: 210/759, 763, 766, 908; 405/128.75							
Applicant							
FMC CORPORATION							
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I Basis of the report							
II Priority							
III Non-establishm	ent of report with regard to no	velty, inventive s	step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity o	f invention						
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
VI Certain documents cited							
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of	of this report				
21 January 2004 (21.01.2004)		ugust 2004 (31.08					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ Mail Stop PCT, Aun: IPEA/US		orized officer	\sim				
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Fran	k M. Lawrence	Parategal Specialist				
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		ohone No. 571-27	72-0987				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.	
PCT/US03/20107	

I.	Basis of the report						
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*						
	the international application as originally filed.						
	the description:						
	pages 1-14 as originally filed						
	pages NONE, filed with the demand						
	pages NONE , filed with the letter of						
	the claims:						
	pages 15-17 , as originally filed						
	pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19 pages NONE , filed with the demand						
	pages NONE, filed with the letter of						
	the drawings:						
	pages 1-5 , as originally filed						
	pages NONE filed with the demand						
	pages NONE , filed with the letter of						
	the sequence listing part of the description:						
	pages NONE , as originally filed						
	pages NONE, filed with the demand						
	pages NONE, filed with the letter of						
2.	With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:						
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).						
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
	the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules						
	55.2 and/or 55.3).						
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the						
-	international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	contained in the international application in printed form.						
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the						
	international application as filed has been furnished.						
	The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing						
	has been furnished.						
4.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
	the description, pages NONE						
	the description, pages NONE						
	the claims, Nos. NONE						
	the drawings, sheets/fig NONE						
5.	heyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**						
* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). ** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.							
**	Any reputtement sheet containing such uncountered made of control to made them I am a made them.						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Internationa application No. PCT/US03/20107

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
1. STATEMENT							
Novelty (N)	Claims	8-12, 22-26	YES				
Hovely (11)		1-7, 13-21, 27-31	NO				
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES				
	Claims	1-31	NO				
		•					
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims		YES				
	Claims	NONE	NO				
2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS In the response to the written opinion, applicant argues that the cited references do not disclose or suggest the present method or compositions used, however it is submitted that the references disclose the methods and compositions as discussed below. Claims 1-7, 13-21, 27 and 29-31 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US 5,700,107 A (NEWTON). NEWTON teaches a process for soil remediation comprising adding an acid and a complexing agent that includes a chelating agent, a salt of iron, and a persulfate such as one of the sodium salts of persulfate to remove pesticides and other contaminants at a temperature of at least 35°C and with a di- or tri-valent catalytically active metal oxide (abstract, sol. 1, lines 52-67, col. 2, lines 24-49, col. 4, lines 1-16, col. 5, lines 41-50, col. 7, lines 8-10). Claims 1-3, 16, 17 and 27-30 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by US 5,741,427 A (WATTS et al). WATTS et al teach a method for the remediation of soil or groundwater comprising adding an acid-stabilized peroxide and a Fe(II) EDTA chelate or Fe(III) salt catalyst to remove pesticides and other contaminants (see abstract, col. 3, line 30-46 to col. , line 51, claims 1-3). Claims 8-12 and 22-26 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over NEWTON. NEWTON discloses all of the limitations of the claims except that the peroxygen compound is a combination of di- and monopersulfate, and that sodium carbonate or bicarbonate is added in a preferred amount as a pH modifier. It is submitted that one having ordinary skill in the art would know to use any available combination of persulfates that are known in the art to be capable of oxidizing contaminants in soil based on the teaching of perfulfates of sodium in the patent, and to use any well known agent for ph adjustment at preferred amount. Claims 1-31 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed ca							